

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,927	02/13/2002	George Ch Fung	0275S-000489/COA	2757	
	590 07/22/2003				
HARNESS, I P.O. BOX 828	DICKEY & PIERCE,	EXAMINER			
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2859		
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/075,927	FUNG ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher W. Fulton	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28 and 29</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-12,17-23,25 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,13-16,24 and 26</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/075,927

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosa et al in view of Tanaka et al.

The device as claimed is substantially disclosed by Rosa et al with an electronic pendulum type level indicating system for a drill having a separate switch, but lack a rotating disk with an aperture to transmit light when the device is level. Tanaka et al teaches using a rotating member with an aperture to transmit light to indicate the levelness of a device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a

Application/Control Number: 10/075,927 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

rotating device with an aperture to transmit light to indicate levelness in Rosa et al as taught by Tanaka et al as an alternative levelness device.

4. Claims 8, 10, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosa et al in view of Tanaka et al as applied to claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25, and 27 above, and further in view of official notice.

The device as claimed is disclosed by the combination of Rosa et al and Tanaka et al together as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 25, and 27, but lack a refracting lens between the rotating member and the light receiving member and a fiber optic system to refract the beam. Official notice is taken that in the light emitting and receiving art lenses and fiber optic systems are old and well known for refracting light to focus the light better on the light receiving member. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use lenses or fiber optic systems in the combination of Rosa et al and Tanaka et al as is old and well known to focus the light to the light receiver.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 28 and 29 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 2-5, 13-16, 24, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (703) 308-3389. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th,F 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Christopher W. Fulton Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2859

CWF July 14, 2003